



Why Teach Science Using an Inquiry Approach?



Dr. Carl J. Wenning
Physics Department
Illinois State University
Normal, Illinois USA

Inquiry Teaching

- 🌐 “Science teaching has suffered because science has been so frequently presented just as so much ready-made knowledge, so much subject matter of fact and law, rather than as the effective method of inquiry into any subject matter.”

🌐 John Dewy, 1910

Traditional Approach

(Expository)




- 🌐 Arguments in favor:
 - 🌐 Faster
 - 🌐 Easier
- 🌐 Arguments in opposition:
 - 🌐 Teacher seen as an authority figure
 - 🌐 Treats subject matter and methods separately
 - 🌐 Rarely allows for understanding of the nature of science
 - 🌐 Problem solving alone is too simplistic a view of science

Inquiry-oriented Methods




- **Gets students to do science by following steps inherent in the scientific process**
 - Observing
 - Defining a problem
 - Hypothesizing
 - Identifying and controlling variables
 - Collecting and interpreting data
 - Drawing conclusions
- **Strengthens higher-order level thinking skills**
 - Inquiry students outperform expository student in test of higher level thinking (Lott, 1983)
 - Inquiry students, though covering less subject matter, perform equally well when low-level cognitive processes are assessed (Lott, 1983)

Traditional vs. Inquiry

Traditional practices:

-  Emphasizes knowledge of facts, laws, and theories
-  Utilizes labs as verification exercises
-  Emphasizes application of knowledge

Inquiry practices:

-  Emphasizes the understanding of the nature of science
-  Integrates labs into course discussion (contextual learning)
-  Emphasizes higher level cognitive skills

-  Which would you see as being better for preparing the next generation of students?

Role of the Teacher

- 🌐 Encourages thinking, questioning, and discussion
- 🌐 Encourages debate/discussion
- 🌐 Provides a variety of levels and paths for investigation
- 🌐 Works as a fellow investigator
- 🌐 Avoids appeals to authority
- 🌐 Maintains an atmosphere conducive to inquiry
- 🌐 Places emphasis on “How do I know the material of this course?” rather than “What must I know in this course?”

Role of the Student

- 🌐 **Makes observations, collects and interprets data**
- 🌐 **Formulates hypotheses, creates and conducts experiments**
- 🌐 **Works out relationships of cause and effect**
- 🌐 **Relates independent and dependent variables**
- 🌐 **Uses reasoning ability**
- 🌐 **Draws conclusions on the basis of data**
- 🌐 **Defends conclusions on the basis of data**

Effective Environments

- 🌐 **The learner-centered classroom**
 - 🌐 Focus on students learning rather than teacher teaching
- 🌐 **The knowledge-centered classroom**
 - 🌐 Student learning is based on evidence
- 🌐 **The assessment-centered classroom**
 - 🌐 Student thinking is made “visible”
- 🌐 **The community-centered classroom**
 - 🌐 Students work cooperatively to find answers to questions

Perceived Problems

- Time and energy
- Too slow
- Reading too difficult
- Risk too high
- Tracking
- Student immaturity
- Teaching habits
- Sequential text
- Discomfort
- Too expensive

Costenson, K. & Lawson, A.E. (1986). Why isn't inquiry used in more classrooms? *American Biology Teacher*, 48(3), 150-158.

Research based claim 1: *

- Understanding science is more than just knowing the facts.
- A framework for teaching the nature of science. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 3(3), March 2006, pp. 3-10.
- Assessing nature-of-science literacy as one component of scientific literacy. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 3(4), Summer 2006, pp. 3-14.
- Scientific epistemology: How scientists know what they know. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 5(2), Autumn 2009, pp 3-16.

* Claims based on *Inquiry & the National Science Education Standards*

Research-based claim 2:

- 🌐 Students build new knowledge and understanding on what they already know and believe.
- 🌐 Dealing more effectively with alternative conceptions in science. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 5(1), Summer 2008, pp 11-19.

Research-based claim 3:

- 🌐 Students formulate new knowledge by modifying and refining their current concepts and by adding new concepts to what they already know.
- 🌐 Dealing more effectively with alternative conceptions in science. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 5(1), Summer 2008, pp 11-19.

Research-based claim 4:

- 🌐 Learning is mediated by the social environment in which learners interact.
- 🌐 Engaging students in conducting Socratic dialogues: Suggestions for science teachers. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 4(1), Autumn 2006, pp. 10-13. (with Thomas W. Holbrook and James Stankevitz).
- 🌐 Whiteboarding and Socratic dialogues: Questions and answers. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 3(1), September 2005, pp. 3-10.

Research-based claim 5:

- Effective learning requires that students take control of their own learning.
- Experimental inquiry in introductory physics courses.
Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online, 6(2), Summer 2011, 2-8.

Research-based claim 6:

- 🌐 The ability to apply knowledge to novel situations, that is, transfer of learning, is affected by the degree to which students learn with understanding.
- 🌐 For primary research findings about all these claims, see Making the Case for Inquiry (Chapter 6) in the book *Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards: A guide for Teaching and Learning*, Center for Science, Mathematics, and Engineering Education (2000).

Secondary Sources

- 🌐 *Project 2061: Science for All Americans*
- 🌐 *National Science Education Standards*
- 🌐 *Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards*
- 🌐 *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School*
- 🌐 *How Students Learn: History, Mathematics, and Science in the Classroom*